The origin of oxygen in oxide thin films: Role of the substrate
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During the growth of oxide thin films by pulsed laser deposition, a strong oxygen substrate-to-film transfer has been experimentally observed for SrTiO 3 and LaAlO 3 thin films epitaxially grown on 18 O exchanged SrTiO 3 and LaAlO 3 substrates by secondary ion mass spectrometry depth profiling. This oxygen transfer effect can seriously change the respective thin film properties. Taking the oxygen substrate contribution to the overall oxygen balance into account, original ways to design material properties of oxide thin films can be envisioned like a controlled charge carrier doping of SrTiO 3 thin films. © 2010 American Institute of Physics. [doi:10.1063/1.3515849]

The preparation of high quality complex oxide thin films and heterostructures with atomic precision has advanced in recent years considerably. 1,2 Subsequently, the crystalline quality of these oxide films is comparable to semiconductors and oxide-semiconductors structures with designed properties have been prepared. 3,4 These developments in thin film growth helped to investigate superconductivity in a single copper oxygen plane 5 or to prepare highly conducting oxide interfaces between two insulators 6,8 which show superconductivity 9 and magnetism. 10 To obtain the desired oxide materials properties, a specific oxygen stoichiometry is essential. The challenge associated with growing oxides is to provide and control the amount of oxygen during the growth to obtain the desired oxygen stoichiometry and hence appropriate physical properties of the respective oxide. For a deposition using pulsed laser deposition (PLD), it often seems to be sufficient to create the correct amount of plasma species within an oxygen background to form the chosen compound as a thin film on a suitable substrate and to supply the missing oxygen with a subsequent annealing step.

During a deposition, the only discussed and usually considered oxygen sources are the target and the background gas. The substrate is taken as the template for the film to be and to match the respective lattice constants to grow the oxide film, e.g., epitaxially, with a preferred crystalline orientation. For an oxygen substrate contribution, there is so far only circumstantial evidence from YBa 2 Cu 3 O 7− δ grown on SrTiO 3 (Ref. 11) and EuO grown on YSZ. 12 Growing oxides with, e.g., a perovskite structure, the dielectric SrTiO 3 is a typical used substrate due to an acceptable lattice mismatch to most commonly investigated oxides. When doped with oxygen vacancies or metals SrTiO 3 becomes a n-type 12,13 or p-type conductor 14 and a superconductor. 15 Recently, TiO 2 -terminated SrTiO 3 (Ref. 16) served as a substrate for ultrathin LaAlO 3 layers grown by PLD where the polar discontinuity at the LaAlO 3 /SrTiO 3 interface 17 causes a highly conducting n-type layer with charge carriers confined within a few nm at the interface. 6,9,18,19 Oxygen vacancies, caused by the PLD process itself, 20 were briefly considered to be the origin of the observed conductivity. However, oxygen vacancies in SrTiO 3 are highly mobile 21 and to localize them exclusively at an interface seemed unlikely.

The example of SrTiO 3 shows that the oxygen content plays a role for the respective material properties and it could even affect the properties of an oxide grown on it. This is revealed in Fig. 1 where the resistance of SrTiO 3 thin films grown by PLD on (100) SrTiO 3, (100) LaAlO 3, and (100) oriented MgO single crystalline substrates at a vacuum background pressure of 1.5 × 10 −5 mbar, a fluence of 4 J cm −2 and a substrate temperature T s of 750 °C were measured between 4 and 300 K. After the deposition, the cooling-down took place at the vacuum base pressure. The sheet resistance of the SrTiO 3 thin film on SrTiO 3 clearly shows a metal-like temperature dependence, whereas SrTiO 3 grown on LaAlO 3 and MgO is semiconducting-like. The expectations have been to prepare SrTiO 3 with similar transport properties using the same growth conditions on different substrates. A difference of 10 6 at 4 K for the measured resistance
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is unexpected and indicates a potential substrate contribution to the measured resistance. For SrTiO$_3$ grown on oxygen deficient SrTiO$_3-x$ thin films using Rutherford backscattering (RBS), values of $\sim 2.5$ for the films shown in Fig. 1 have been obtained with an average composition of Sr$_{0.97\pm0.03}$Ti$_{1.03\pm0.05}$O$_{2.52\pm0.06}$. This raises the questions where does the oxygen in an oxide thin film grown on an oxide substrate come from, is oxygen supplied by the substrate, and how significant is the oxygen transfer for deposition techniques other than PLD?

To investigate the role of oxygen supplied by the substrate during a deposition, the oxygen diffusion properties of SrTiO$_3$ and LaAlO$_3$ thin films grown on 18O isotope exchanged SrTiO$_3$ and LaAl 18O$_3$ substrates were studied by dynamic secondary ion mass spectrometry (D-SIMS) which yields elemental depth profiles. SrTiO$_3$ and LaAlO$_3$ thin films have been prepared at three different deposition temperatures (nominal room temperature, 650 °C, and 750 °C) by PLD ($\lambda=248$ nm, 10 Hz) at a background pressure $p=1.5\times10^{-5}$ mbar and $F=4$ J cm$^{-2}$ on 18O$_2$ exchanged (100) oriented SrTi 18O$_3$ and LaAl 18O$_3$ substrates (nonterminated and TiO$_2$ terminated) with a total thickness of $\sim 100$ nm. Details to the oxygen exchange is given in Ref. 22. SIMS spectra were recorded using a quadrupole mass spectrometer (Hiden analytical EQS) operated with a 2.5 keV Ar ion beam focused to 150 μm diameter rastering over a square of $1\times1$ mm with an effective sampling area of 500×500 μm$^2$. The etched area is subsequently measured with a Dektak 8 profilometer to convert etching time into depth. In addition, a kinetic energy selection scheme is used to separate species with the same mass. 23

The 18O diffusion from SrTi 18O$_3$ into SrTi 18O$_3$ shows a pronounced dependence on the deposition temperature [Fig. 2(a)]. Whereas for a room temperature deposition, no traceable 18O diffusion into the film has been measured, the situation changes dramatically for elevated deposition temperatures. At 650 °C, there is considerable 18O diffusion from the substrate into the film, while at $T_s=750$ °C, there is no significant difference with respect to the amount of 18O measured in the film and substrate. Measuring Sr and Ti species from the substrate and film simultaneously with 16O and 18O, the elemental compositions of film and substrate are very similar. This implies that oxygen in the SrTiO$_3$ thin film is supplied by the substrate and the oxygen provided by the target seems to play a minor role for this system.

The 18O diffusion into LaAlO$_3$ from LaAl 18O$_3$ differs significantly compared to SrTiO$_3$ on SrTi 18O$_3$. No considerable oxygen diffusion from the substrate into the film is detected, in particular, at the interface region, irrespective of the studied deposition temperature (not shown). In the case of SrTiO$_3$ grown on LaAl 18O$_3$, a significant and homogeneous oxygen contribution is measured for the SrTiO$_3$ film prepared at $T_s=750$ °C [Fig. 2(b)]. Even at 650 °C, there is still a significant 18O intake which can be detected up to the film surface. It is interesting to note the complementary large difference in oxygen diffusion properties of the SrTiO$_3$ thin film considering a modest difference in $T_s$ of 100 °C. This is probably due to the activation energy of oxygen diffusion in SrTiO$_3$ and that a film is inherently defect-rich which allows oxygen to diffuse more easily at elevated temperatures compared to a single crystal $[D_{\text{crystal}}=10^{-15}$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$; $D_{\text{defects}}=10^{-11}$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$ (Ref. 21)]. The large 18O concentration in SrTiO$_3$ thin films is unexpected if compared to LaAlO$_3$ on LaAl 18O$_3$. This suggests that SrTiO$_3$ is a material which can either take or give oxygen during film growth, whereas LaAlO$_3$ is more likely to keep oxygen during the deposition. Oxygen diffusion into LaAlO$_3$ is more difficult to achieve.
than into SrTiO$_3$. This becomes evident when depositing LaAlO$_3$ onto SrTi$^{18}$O$_2$ [Fig. 2(c)]. The $^{18}$O concentration in the $\approx$100 nm thin LaAlO$_3$ films deposited at different temperatures is smaller than in SrTiO$_3$, still there is a significant amount of $^{18}$O at the film-substrate interface for the film grown at $T_s=750$ °C.

The growth of oxide thin films by PLD is a process influenced by its plasma species formed during the ablation process (positive and negative ions, neutrals$^{24}$), their kinetic energy and propagation velocity, and respective scattering events with a background gas. For a vacuum deposition, the only obvious oxygen source is the target. RBS measurements of these LaAlO$_3$ films show that a substantial amount of oxygen is transferred from the target to the film, at the same time $^{18}$O is supplemented from the substrate. The total amount of oxygen in the film is still smaller (approximately LaAlO$_{3.5}$) as compared to the SrTiO$_3$ substrate, indicating a substantial oxygen deficiency for the as-grown LaAlO$_{3-x}$ with induced vacancies in the substrate. The $^{18}$O diffusion data have been reproduced, albeit with a reduced depth resolution by ERDA and essentially confirm the presented SIMS measurements. In addition, the diffusion data are independent of a substrate termination.

Frequently, electrical transport properties of as-grown oxides such as LaTiO$_{2.4}$ on SrTiO$_3$ and other substrates have been reported$^{25}$ which yield similar variations in conductivity to the data in Fig. 1. Like SrTiO$_3$, LaTiO$_{3.4}$ (0 $\leq$ $\delta$ $\leq$ 0.5) will most probably draw oxygen from the substrate if available which would explain the observed conducting properties.$^{25}$ The additional substrate contribution is often eliminated if films are grown on oxides such as MgO or scandate substrates.

The work on the conducting LaAlO$_3$/SrTiO$_3$ interface shows that a combination of surface and interface engineering and controlled defect chemistry can give structure functionalities different from the bulk as shown for the conducting interfaces. Therefore, it seems possible to create structures where the controlled oxygen diffusion from or to SrTiO$_3$ or related oxides helps to prepare multilayers with a defined oxygen defect structure. This is potentially beneficial for oxide multilayers used for thermoelectric applications where the contradictory demands between low thermal conductivity and good electrical conductivity could be reduced by creating defect-rich interlayers leading to an enhanced conductivity. Also, the presented experiments are most likely not just restricted to PLD because the general diffusion kinetic of a substrate-film structure is not restricted to a specific deposition technique. Details will be different, but the deposition of a material which can take oxygen during the deposition will typically result in oxygen redistribution between substrate and film. To achieve the desired properties, the specific deposition conditions for the chosen deposition technique need to be adjusted accordingly.

In summary, we have demonstrated that the oxygen-substrate contribution has to be taken into the overall oxygen balance when growing oxide thin films. LaAlO$_3$ and SrTiO$_3$ thin films where deposited on $^{18}$O exchanged SrTiO$_3$ and LaAlO$_3$ substrates and the $^{18}$O diffusion profile studied by D-SIMS. A substantial oxygen transfer between substrate and as-grown thin film has been noted, which indicates that the initially formed film is oxygen deficient and a chemical gradient is in favor of supplying oxygen via the substrate. This finding should also be applicable to other deposition techniques such as molecular beam epitaxy or sputtering if high deposition temperatures are involved.
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